STUDENTS ARE REQUESTED, IN THEIR OWN INTERESTS, TO WRITE LEGIBLY.

INSTRUCTIONS:

- This examination has three sections.
- Answer ALL questions in Section 1 and Section 2.
- Choose ONE question from Section 3.
- For Section 3, write one essay that is a minimum of two pages and a maximum of three pages in length.
- Section 1 is worth 30 points.
- Section 2 is worth 20 points
- Section 3 is worth 50 points.
- The duration of the examination is three hours.

Section 1

- Answer ALL questions in this section
- This section has a total of 30 points. Each question in this section is worth five points.
- Your response to each question should not exceed five lines of the answer sheet.

Are these statements True or False. Please support your answer.

1. Mazisi Kunene, like John Locke, argues that human beings are selfish by nature.
2. In Discourse on inequality Rousseau argues that the state emerged as a social contract among equal partners.
3. Schumpeter believes that democracy has an intrinsic value.
4. Ramose, like Wiredu, supports multiparty democracy.
5. In No Future Without Forgiveness Desmond Tutu argues for retributive justice.
6. Francis Deng believes that human rights are culturally relative.

Section 2

- Answer ALL questions in this section
- This section has a total of 20 points.

1. Name two kinds of justice that were discussed in class. (2 marks)
2. Name three elements of Robert Nozick’s entitlement theory. (6 marks)
3. Name two features (characteristics) of Arendt Lijphart’s consociationalism (4 marks)
4. Name two functions of language that are mentioned by Ngũgĩ wa Thiong’o in his *Decolonising the Mind*. (4 marks)

5. Name two fundamental ethical laws in Mazisi Kunene’s philosophy. (4 marks)

---

**Section 3**

- Answer ONE question. This section is worth 50 points.
- Your essay should be a minimum of two pages and a maximum of 3 pages length

1. In “Democracy or consensus? A response to Wiredu” Emmanuel Eze criticizes Wiredu’s assumption that “all interests of members of a given society are ‘identical’”. Critically evaluate this critique of Wiredu’s non-party polity.

2. Using at least one of the theories of justice (Ramose, Nozick and Rawls) discussed in this module, critically discuss whether and to what extent South Africa’s 1996 constitution mandates the national government to provide housing to its citizens. (What is relevant here is Chapter 2, section 26 of South Africa’s 1996 constitution)

3. In *The Wretched of the Earth* Frantz Fanon claims that “The working class of the towns, the masses of unemployed, the small artisans and craftsmen for their part line up behind this nationalist attitude; but in all justice let it be said, they only follow in the steps of their bourgeoisie. If the national bourgeoisie goes into competition with the Europeans, the artisans and craftsmen start a fight against non-national Africans.” Critically discuss the relevance of Frantz Fanon for understanding xenophobia or Afrophobia in contemporary South Africa.
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